wo

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	Gustavo Cordova-Hernandez	Case Number:11-6077M
present and v	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 was represented by counsel. I conclude b the defendant pending trial in this case.	3142(f), a detention hearing was held on February 23, 2011. Defendant was by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the
I find by a pre	eponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT
	The defendant is not a citizen of the U	United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
×	The defendant, at the time of the cha	rged offense, was in the United States illegally.
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.	
	The defendant has no significant con	tacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal his	story.
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
	The defendant is an amnesty applic substantial family ties to Mexico.	ant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has
	There is a record of prior failure to ap	pear in court as ordered.
	The defendant attempted to evade la	w enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	of years imprisonment.
The 0 at the time of	the hearing in this matter, except as not	rial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Cour ed in the record. ONCLUSIONS OF LAW
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defend No condition or combination of condit DIRECTION	dant will flee. tions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. DNS REGARDING DETENTION
a corrections appeal. The of the United defendant to	facility separate, to the extent practicable defendant shall be afforded a reasonable States or on request of an attorney for the United States Marshal for the purpos APPEALS	the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour e Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the se of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. 5 AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
deliver a copy	y of the motion for review/reconsideration	detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District
Services suff	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to iciently in advance of the hearing before ne potential third party custodian.	o a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DAT	Γ ED this 25 th day of February, 2	2011.
		Jan
		David K. Duncan I States Magistrate Judge